

# ELECTROMAGNETIC STRUCTURE OF LAMBDA $\Lambda^0(1115)$ HYPERON IN CONSTITUENT QUARK MODEL

*S.V. Trubnikov<sup>1</sup>, I.V. Tkachenko<sup>1</sup>, Andrei Afanasev<sup>2</sup>*

<sup>1</sup>*National Science Center “Kharkiv Institute of Physics and Technology”, Kharkiv, Ukraine;*

<sup>2</sup>*The George Washington University*

$\Lambda^0(1115)$  is the next (after neutron) the neutral baryon and the first one which contains the hard s-quark. Therefore it's very interesting to analyse the sign and the value of  $\Lambda$  mean-square charge radius (MSCR)  $\langle r_\Lambda^2 \rangle$  and so to elucidate the natural questions: does the  $\Lambda$  charge structure reproduce the neutron phenomenon (zero or negative sign of  $\langle r_n^2 \rangle$ ) or not?

The previously published theoretical predictions for  $\langle r_\Lambda^2 \rangle$  are disposed in very wide spectrum and admit both positive and negative values of this static property [1]:

$$-0.28 \text{ fm}^2 \lesssim \langle r_\Lambda^2 \rangle \lesssim +0.11 \text{ fm}^2. \quad (1)$$

Therefore, the new “obvious” calculations will be the fresh addition and seem to be useful for more clear understanding of realistic value of  $\langle r_\Lambda^2 \rangle$ .

In this paper the results of new calculations of lambda  $\Lambda^0(1115)$  MSCR  $\langle r_\Lambda^2 \rangle$  are presented. In nonrelativistic constituent quark model (NRCQM) [2-3], as a first step, only the spin-independent part of quark-quark interaction is included:

$$H_I = \frac{1}{2} K \sum_{i < j} (\vec{r}_i - \vec{r}_j)^2. \quad (2)$$

In harmonic oscillator model (HOM) the coupling constant  $K$  (i.e. the strength of confinement) is defined anew and may be expressed in terms of charge proton radius  $r_p$  and the mass  $m_u$  of u-quark:

$$K = \frac{1}{3m_u r_p^4}. \quad (3)$$

Let's underline here that this method of  $K$ -determination is essentially new element of the present approach to  $\Lambda$  structure.

Now let's make the transition from three general radius-vectors  $\vec{r}_1, \vec{r}_2, \vec{r}_3$  in eq. (2) to the two vectors  $\vec{\rho}, \vec{\lambda}$  of relative coordinates and centre-of-mass vector  $\vec{R}$ . The change of variables converts  $H_I$  from eq. (2) to the form

$$H_I = \frac{3}{2} K \vec{\rho}^2 + \frac{3}{2} K \vec{\lambda}^2. \quad (4)$$

In terms of HOM masses and frequencies for the case  $m_1 = m_2 \equiv m, m_3 \neq m$  eq. (4) may be rewritten as

$$H_I = \frac{1}{2} m_\rho \omega_\rho^2 \cdot \vec{\rho}^2 + \frac{1}{2} m_\lambda \omega_\lambda^2 \cdot \vec{\lambda}^2, \quad (5)$$

where  $m_\rho = m, m_\rho \omega_\rho = (3K/m)^{1/2}$ ,

$$\omega_\rho^2 = 3K/m_\rho, \quad (6)$$

$$m_\lambda = \frac{3mm_3}{2m+m_3}, \omega_\lambda^2 = 3K/m_\lambda. \quad (7)$$

The eigenstates of  $H_I$  (5) are well known. The ground state wave function  $\Psi(\vec{\rho}, \vec{\lambda})$  has both  $(\rho, \lambda)$  oscillators in their respective ground states:

$$\Psi(\vec{\rho}, \vec{\lambda}) = \left( \frac{m_\rho \omega_\rho}{\pi} \right)^{\frac{3}{4}} \left( \frac{m_\lambda \omega_\lambda}{\pi} \right)^{\frac{3}{4}} \times \exp \left( -\frac{1}{2} m_\rho \omega_\rho^2 \vec{\rho}^2 - \frac{1}{2} m_\lambda \omega_\lambda^2 \vec{\lambda}^2 \right). \quad (8)$$

For  $\Lambda(uds)$  hyperon the proper quarks masses are  $m_1 = m_2 = m_u = m_d \equiv m$  and  $m_3 = m_s$ . The square charge radius  $r_\Lambda^2$  is

$$r_\Lambda^2 = \sum_{i=u,d,s} e_i (\vec{r}_i - \vec{R})^2, \quad (9)$$

where  $e_i$  are the electric charges of the proper three quarks. The result of calculations is the following:

$$r_\Lambda^2 = \frac{1}{6} \vec{\rho}^2 + \frac{\sqrt{3}m_s}{(2m+m_s)} \cdot (\vec{\rho} \cdot \vec{\lambda}) - \frac{1}{2} \frac{(2m-m_s)}{(2m+m_s)} \cdot \vec{\lambda}^2. \quad (10)$$

By definition the  $\Lambda^0(1115)$  MSCR  $\langle r_\Lambda^2 \rangle$  equals to

$$\langle r_\Lambda^2 \rangle = \langle \Psi | \sum_i e_i (\vec{r}_i - \vec{R})^2 | \Psi \rangle. \quad (11)$$

Averaging of eq. (11) with the help of wave function (8) amounts to the standard Gaussian integrations and the final results may be written as

$$\langle \Psi | \vec{\rho}^2 | \Psi \rangle = \frac{3}{2} \cdot \frac{1}{m_\rho \omega_\rho}, \quad (12)$$

$$\langle \Psi | \vec{\rho} \cdot \vec{\lambda} | \Psi \rangle = 0. \quad (13)$$

So for  $\langle r_\Lambda^2 \rangle$  we obtain the general result

$$\langle \Psi | r_\Lambda^2 | \Psi \rangle = \frac{1}{4} \cdot \frac{1}{(m_\rho \omega_\rho)} - \frac{3}{4} \frac{(2m-m_s)}{(2m+m_s)} \cdot \frac{1}{(m_\lambda \omega_\lambda)}, \quad (14)$$

where

$$m_\rho \omega_\rho = (3Km)^{1/2},$$

$$m_\lambda \omega_\lambda = 3 \left( K \frac{mm_s}{(2m+m_s)} \right)^{1/2}. \quad (15)$$

So, the new analytical representation for  $\Lambda$  MSCR in  $uds$ -basis may be written in the final form as

$$\langle r_\Lambda^2 \rangle = \frac{1}{4} r_p^2 \left[ 1 - \frac{\sqrt{3}(2m-m_s)}{\sqrt{m_s(2m+m_s)}} \right]. \quad (16)$$

Note that in formal limit  $m_s \rightarrow m$  eq. (24) is transformed into “correct neutron” limit  $\langle r^2 \rangle \rightarrow 0$ .

For numerical calculations we assume the most commonly used constituent quark masses

$$m \equiv m_u = m_d = 0.33 \text{ GeV}, \quad (17)$$

$$m_s = 0.51 \text{ GeV}, \quad (18)$$

and the most recent proton charge radius

$$r_p \cong 0.84 \text{ fm}. \quad (19)$$

Taking together these numerical values, we obtain the following results:

$$\langle r_\Lambda^2 \rangle = 0.117 \text{ fm}^2 \quad (\cong 0.12 \text{ fm}^2). \quad (20)$$

In other words, in NRCQM the  $\Lambda$  MSCR (i) is positive, (ii) small enough, and so (iii) the “neutron phenomenon” (theoretical zero or experimental negative value of  $\langle r_n^2 \rangle$ ) is not reproduced.

Experimental facilities: how can we measure the  $\Lambda$  MSCR? In principle four possibilities may be listed [4–7].

1. Elastic scattering of high-energy  $\Lambda$  -beam on atomic electrons of the proper target (e.g. for Be-target):

$$\Lambda^0 + e^- \rightarrow \Lambda^0 + e^-. \quad (21)$$

2. Electroproduction of strange particles on the proton target:

$$e^- + p \rightarrow e^- + \Lambda^0 + K^+. \quad (22)$$

3. Production of polarized  $\Lambda$  hyperon-antihyperon pair in electron-positron colliders:

$$e^+ + e^- \rightarrow \Lambda^0 + \bar{\Lambda}^0. \quad (23)$$

4. Dalitz decay  $\psi(2S) \rightarrow \Lambda \bar{\Lambda} e^+ e^-$  in time-like region with

$$q^2 \gtrsim 4m_e^2 = 1.05 \times 10^{-6} \text{ GeV}^2. \quad (24)$$

Now about the  $\Lambda^0(uds)$  magnetic moment (MM). Experimental (exp) value of  $\Lambda$  MM now is well established:

$$\mu_{exp}(\Lambda^0) = -0.613 \pm 0.004 \mu_N, \quad (25)$$

where as usual,  $\mu_N = e/2m_p$  is nuclear magneton (n.m). Usually this value is used for determination of the mass of strange s-quark:

$$m_s = 0.51 \text{ GeV}. \quad (26)$$

## REFERENCES

1. S.V. Trubnikov. Charge structure of  $\Lambda$ -hyperon // Book of Abstracts of the XXIII International Baldin

Seminar on High Energy Physics Problems (Dubna, Russia, September 19-24, 2016) Dubna (2016). 2016, p. 147.

2. N. Isgur and G. Karl. P-wave baryons in the quark model // *Phys. Rev.* 1978, D18, p. 4187;

N. Isgur and G. Karl. Positive-parity excited baryons in a quark model with hyperfine interactions // *Phys. Rev.* 1979, D19, p. 2563;

N. Isgur and G. Karl. Ground-state baryons in a quark model with hyperfine interactions // *Phys. Rev.* 1979, D20, p. 1191.

and

R. Koniuk and N. Isgur. Erratum: Baryon decays in a quark model with chromodynamics // *Phys. Rev.* 1980, D21, p. 1868.

3. M.I. Krivoruchenko. Neutron charge radius in the bag model // *Sov. J. Nucl. Phys.* 1985, № 41, p. 495.

4. A.I. Akhiezer and M.P. Rekalo, Electrodynamics of Hadrons // *Naukova Dumka*, Kiev, USSR. 1977. 496p. (in Russian)

5. K. Schönning, V. Batorskaya, P. Adlarson and X. Zhou. Production and decay of polarized hyperon-antihyperon pairs // *Chinese Physics*. 2023, C47, #5 p. 052002.

6. A. Mangoni, S. Pacetti and E. Tomasi-Gustafsson. First exploration of the physical Riemann surfaces of the ratio  $G_E^\Lambda/G_M^\Lambda$  // *Phys. Rev.* 2021, D104, p. 116016.

7. Y.H. Lin, F.K. Guo and U.G. Meissner. Method for measuring the charge radii of charged hyperons from the time-like region // arXiv: 2309.07850v3[hep-ph], 2024.